UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/595,823 | 05/12/2006 | Christophe Colignon | PSA0313827 | 7285 |
| 29980 NICOLAS E. S | 7590 09/12/200 ECKEL | EXAMINER | | |
| Patent Attorney | | EDWARDS, LOREN C | | |
| 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 3748 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/12/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Application | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|---|----------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/595,82 | ?3 | COLIGNON, CHRISTOPHE | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | LOREN C | . EDWARDS | 3748 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply | on appears on the | cover sheet with the | correspondence ad | ddress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n 23 June 2008 | | | | | | |
| • | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 June 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| ت (۵ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | · | | | | | | |
| · · · | | cation | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| '= | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| · · | S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 11-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) 7-10 and 17-20 is/are objected | | | | | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election re | equirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 June 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori | t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/23/08 | 148) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal C 6) Other: | oate | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/595,823 Page 2

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Applicant's Amendment filed on 6/23/08 has been entered. Claims 7-20 have been added. Overall, claims 1-20 pending in the application.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-6 and 11-16 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of copending Application No. U.S. 2007/0113545. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-6 of U.S. 2007/0113545 contain all of the essential claim elements of the instant claim.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/595,823 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

4. Claims 7-10 and 17-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/23/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that a double patenting rejection is not applicable because 2007/0113545 presents 4 strategies and the instant application presents 3 strategies. The examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. The instant application, reciting 3 identical strategies as the 2007/0113545, is broader than the 2007/0113454 and is therefor anticipated by it.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,823 Page 4

Art Unit: 3748

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LOREN C. EDWARDS whose telephone number is (571)272-2756. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas E. Denion/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3748

/Loren Edwards/

(571) 272-2756